

01
02
03
04
05
06
07 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
08 WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON
AT SEATTLE

09 CHARLES L. BOBO,)
10 Plaintiff,) CASE NO. C13-2204-MJP
11 v.)
12 STATE OF WASHINGTON) REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION
13 DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL AND)
HEALTH SERVICES,)
14 Defendant.)
15 _____)

16 Plaintiff Charles L. Bobo, proceeding *pro se*, submitted an application to proceed *in*
17 *forma pauperis* (IFP) and a proposed complaint to this Court for review. (Dkt. 1.) Plaintiff
18 brings his claims against the State of Washington Department of Social and Health Services
19 (“DSHS”), alleging in essential part: “They said you did not report your wages from part-time
20 work in a timely manner.” (Dkt. 1-1 at 12.)

21 Given plaintiff’s extensive filing history, the Honorable Ricardo S. Martinez has
22 ordered that, in deciding whether to grant plaintiff IFP status, the Court must determine whether

01 good cause exists to permit the action to go forward, and that “Mr. Bobo cannot file the same
02 claims against the same defendant if those claims were dismissed with prejudice.” *Bobo v.*
03 *County of Fresno Dependency Court*, C13-1044 (Dkt. 7). Plaintiff has filed the same or
04 similar complaints against DSHS in the past. *See, e.g., Bobo v. DSHS*, C13-774-MJP, *Bobo v.*
05 *DSHS*, C13-1036-RSL, *Bobo v. DSHS*, C12-1992-RAJ. Because the Court has dismissed the
06 same claims against the same defendant on one or more occasions, *see, e.g., C13-774-MJP*
07 (Dkt. 5), the Court recommends that plaintiff’s IFP application be DENIED, and the present
08 complaint DISMISSED with prejudice. A proposed Order accompanies this Report and
09 Recommendation.

10 DATED this 3rd day of January, 2014.

11
12 

13 Mary Alice Theiler
14 Chief United States Magistrate Judge
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22